EXHIBIT E

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

IN RE: Chapter 11

W.R. GRACE & CO., et al., . Case No. 01-01139(JKF)

Jointly Administered

Debtors.

. Aug. 21, 2006 (1:55 p.m.)

(Wilmington)

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
BEFORE THE HONORABLE JUDITH K. FITZGERALD
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT JUDGE

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript produced by transcription service.

- 1 myself. Let's get the factual record by one deposition of
- 2 what they actually had and their ability to do it. You may
- 3 disagree with my assertion that they should have given notice
- 4 to this group of building owners or sub-group. You may agree
- 5 that, well, maybe for these 25 buildings they should go out
- 6 with the right notice or should have. I don't know. We're
- 7 arguing in a vacuum.
- 8 THE COURT: What I'm suggesting is it's too late.
- 9 The time to do this was at the time that the debtor filed a
- 10 motion to approve the bar date program or at the time that
- 11 the certification with respect to the actual notice came in.
- 12 It is not time to reopen an issue that this Court adjudicated
- 13 and has been a final order for three years. That's my
- 14 concern. I just think it's too late.
- MR. BERNICK: Your Honor, with respect to the
- 16 Anderson Memorial . . . (microphone not recording) think
- 17 there's been so much time extended on . . . about what
- 18 actually occurred there . . . representations that are still
- 19 being made today about what the certification was that are
- 20 made by officers before the Court. We have got for the sake
- 21 of a record here to come to terms with what those orders were
- 22 Now, maybe Mr. Speights submitted something. He keeps on
- 23 talking about a putative class. In 1994, the Judge in the
- 24 case struck the allegations for class determination for non-
- 25 residents for the claims that don't arise in South Carolina

- 1 or where the property is not situated in South Carolina. He
- 2 just struck it because of the door closing schedule. So,
- 3 there's no putative class. There's nothing. It's a South
- 4 Carolina case. Then we have a conditional certification. I
- 5 have that document. It was ex parte February 2001. I got
- 6 that one. That includes Grace but it's conditional. I have
- 7 a letter in May that reads as follows: It says, Please draw
- 8 an order for my review granting plaintiff's motion for class
- 9 certification as requested. The order should specifically
- 10 state that the order affects only the three remaining
- 11 defendants due to the stay as to W.R. Grace. Please tailor
- 12 the order in conformity with plaintiff's brief and reply
- 13 brief. I believe the pertinent issues are dealt with fully
- 14 and appropriately therein. So, we have the conditional
- 15 certification. We then have the final certification. The
- 16 final certification at least as the letter reads was to
- 17 exclude Grace and if you go to the opinion and order, you can
- 18 see that it basically does, although it's not as plain as the
- 19 letter is. Under any set of circumstances, I see nothing in
- 20 the record to indicate that there was a final order of
- 21 certification for Grace in any respect.
- 22 THE COURT: I cannot see a final order of
- 23 certification as to Grace. Had it been entered pursuant to
- 24 the letter that was sent from the Judge to Mr. Speights, it
- 25 would have been post-petition and void, and to the extent

281

- 1 that it was not made final, the interim certification goes
- 2 away when it's not made final. It doesn't exist anymore.
- 3 MR. BERNICK: Right.
- 4 THE COURT: Now, it may be reinstated at some point
- 5 if the bankruptcy's over. I mean, there's a possibility that
- 6 people could go back and reinstate it, but it doesn't exist
- 7 anymore. It's not a certification.
- 8 MR. BERNICK: Okay, and all I'm saying and that's
- 9 how I look at these documents, but Mr. Speights got up and
- 10 made a representation yet again today that it was certified
- 11 pre-petition as to W.R. Grace and that there is some other -
- 12 we just ought to get past this so we don't keep on having
- 13 this debate.
- 14 THE COURT: I do not Mr. Speights did send me
- 15 these documents. I apologize again, I don't recall when, but
- 16 I have seen these documents. I have looked at these orders.
- 17 There is no final certification as to Grace, and the
- 18 conditional one at this point in time is irrelevant because
- 19 it was not finally certified. So And as to the putative
- 20 class, I agree that the Judge struck all of the non-South
- 21 Carolina entities from the class that the Judge did certify,
- 22 so even if there were conditional certification as to Grace,
- 23 it's only as to South Carolina defendants at this point in
- 24 time.
- MR. BERNICK: Thank you.